

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,804	11/26/2003	Joseph Patino	CE12081JEM	8199
7590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
Larry G. Brown			FANTU, YALKEW	
Motorola, Inc.				
Law Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8000 West Sunr	rise Boulevard	2838		
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33322			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 9V
		10/722,804	PATINO ET AL.	Κ,
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Yalkew Fantu	2838	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s
Period fo	• •	VIO OET TO EVOIDE AMONTU	(0) OD TUUDTY (20) D	AVO
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. mety filed n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 N	lovember 2003.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the me	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/a	are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner	•
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stag	је
	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,		
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen	• •			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a second capacitor as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 2 and 4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 2

and 4 fail to set forth any method steps and therefore fail to further limit the base

method claims. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to

place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the enablement requirement and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention. The functional language "practicing said

method in the electronic device reduces the minimum value of the capacitor as

compared to the minimum value required of a second capacitor that is used to provide

voltage to the battery when the input power supply signal drops below the second

predetermined threshold" is inaccurate and/or unsupported by applicant's disclosure. The disclosure fails to set forth any capacitor that could be interpreted as being the "second capacitor". Nor does the specification set forth any disclosure of or means for ascertaining what the minimum value of the capacitor is or how it is determined that such a value is reduced compared to some other value.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al (US 4,061,956).

With respect to claim 9, Brown et al teaches the claimed charging system (Fig. 1) for charging a battery (Fig.1 number 26) comprising a coil for receiving an input power (Fig. 1 number 10), a charging switch (Fig. 1 number 12 and 44) and a controller (Fig. 1 'Control Circuit I' and Col 3 line 7). Control charging switch (Fig. 1 number 44) activate charging switch (Fig. 1 number 12) when input power (Fig. 1 number 16) reaches predetermined threshold. The voltage control circuit of Fig. 1 number 40 receives the voltage signals, which reflects state of charge of the battery. (Col.3 line 65-

68 and 4, line 1-5) it is well known to those skilled in the art that the state of charge of a battery indicates the threshold voltage difference for activating and deactivating the charging switches.

With respect to claim 10, as best the examiner can ascertain from the language of the claim, the terms and phrases thereof are met by Brown et al.

With respect to claim 12, backlighting circuit (Fig. 1. Number 84 and Col.8 line 65 to Col. 9 line 40)

With respect to claim 14 rectifier (Fig .1 number 14), boost circuit includes a rectifier.

With respect to claim 15 the magnitude of the predetermined threshold (Col. 8, lines 54-64).

Regarding method claims 1-8, the method steps are met by the operation of Brown et al. as applied to claims 9-12, 14 and 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2838

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al (US 4061956) in view of Patino et al.(US 6972542).

With respect to claim 13, Brown et al. teaches the invention set forth above and further teaches battery charging system (Fig. 1). Brown lacks the wireless charging system. Patino et al teaches that it is well known to use a wireless battery to the charging system. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the charging system of Brown et al with that of Patino et al for the purpose of having a charging system with wireless capability for easy and portable use.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yalkew Fantu whose telephone number is 571-272-8928. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F);(8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray can be reached on 571-272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,804 Page 7

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Gray
Primary Examiner